AFP American Free Press
Vol XIII No. 17 April 29, 2013 americanfreepress.net

Page 14, AMERICAN FREE PRESS * April 29, 2013 * Issue 17 *
AMERICANFREEPRESS.NET * BEHIND THE SCENES

Bernard Lewis—a Zionist agent—first enunciated the Council on Foreign Relations-Trilateral Commission rhetoric about a "clash of civilizations."

High-Level Intriguers Behind Recent Barrage of Anti-Muslim Propaganda

> "Muslim bashing does the work of the Zionists, and nationalists need to realize this."

By Michael Collins Piper

Conspiracy theories about Muslims are taking hold within the patriot movement. Especially pernicious are heated claims about a "rising Muslim tide" and Muslim "sharia law" gaining a grip on the West.

While most patriots realize the official version of 9-11 — that it was the work of Muslim fanatics — is a lie, many still inexplicably believe Zionist propaganda about sharia and an impending Muslim invasion. These images are designed to inflame Americans against Muslims and make Americans all the more ready to fight wars against Iran and Syria or any Muslim country perceived to be a threat to Israel.

A little background regarding the current wave of rumors is appropriate, demonstrating precisely where these scurrilous stories first appeared. They are the latest incarnation of an insidious war-mongering mantra perpetuating the theme that a "clash of civilizations" between Muslims and the West is looming and must be fought — even if by nuclear arms.

The concept of a "clash of civilizations" was first publicly enunciated by a Jewish pro-Israel anti-Muslim propagandist, Bernard Lewis (an asset of British intelligence), in an elite academic publication, The Middle East Journal in 1956 and later in his 1964 book, *The Middle East and the West*. Lewis revived his theme in "The Roots of Muslim Rage," a frequently cited article in the September 1990 *Atlantic Monthly*, then owned by billionaire Mortimer Zuckerman, for several years chairman of the Conference of Presidents of Major American Jewish Organizations.

However, it was Samuel Huntington, a member of the inner circle of the Rockefeller-controlled Trilateral Commission and a longtime figure at the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) — the New York affiliate of the Rothschild dynasty's Royal Institute for International Affairs in London — who popularized the term "clash of civilizations."

In a widely touted article in the summer 1993 issue of the CFR's journal, *Foreign Affairs*, and later in a much-reviewed 1996 book, *The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of World Order*, Huntington brought the "clash" into popular parlance, despite its origins in Jewish propaganda.

In 2004, in his book *Who Are We?* — the CFR man urged blocking certain groups — not just Muslims but also Hispanic Catholics — from coming into the United States, this in the name of "fighting terrorism and anti-Semitism," reflecting a widespread, often-cited belief by Jewish leaders, in particular, that Muslims and Hispanics are not in tune with Jewish concerns and not easily controlled politically.

These provocative rhetorical flourishes have hit home and many people are buying into the propaganda against Muslims and their alleged drive to seize power in America.

Recently, one pro-Israel voice — Rep. Louie Gohmert (R-Texas) — tried to exploit legitimate concerns about the push for gun control by claiming Americans need their guns to fight the rise of sharia on U.S. soil, a back-door way to trick Second Amendment advocates into fearing Muslims and to even be prepared to shoot them.

So, then, what about the Muslim population explosion we keep hearing about? Well, it's not happening.

Although it's not been widely reported, a recent study by a leading demographer, Nicholas Eberstadt, contradicts everything pro-Israeli agitators claim about the matter. And Eberstadt is no liberal advocate of multiculturalism. Instead, he's associated with the neoconservative American Enterprise Institute.

David Ignatius — a respected journalist who often counters Israeli lobby propaganda — recently described Eberstadt's study:

> Using data for 49 Muslim-majority countries and territories, he found that fertility rates declined an average of 41 percent between 1975-80 and 2005-10, compared with a 33 percent decline for the world as a whole. Twenty-two Muslim countries and territories had fertility declines of 50 percent or more. . . . Fertility in Iran declined an astonishing 70 percent over the 30-year period, which Eberstadt says was "one of the most rapid and pronounced fertility declines ever recorded in human history. . . ." Big cities in the Muslim world have seen especially sharp drops. . . .

In fact, said Eberstadt, "Fertility decline over the past generation has been more rapid in the Arab states than virtually anywhere else on Earth."

None of this is new to those in the know. On Jan. 27, 2011 *The New York Times* let its readership in on the big secret that the Muslim population was not accelerating exponentially in the United States or Europe or even around the world.

Commenting on *The Future of the Global Muslim Population*, a report by the Pew Research Center, the Times advised that "predictions that Europe will become a majority Muslim 'Eurabia' are unfounded," pointing out that during the next 20 years the world's Muslim population is projected to increase from 23.4 percent to 26.4 percent — hardly an explosion — and noting "such growth is not enough to create a drastic shift in the world's religious balance."

Pew found that Muslims in Europe, now 6 percent of the population, will grow only to 8 percent by 2030. *The Times* noted: "In France and Belgium, Muslims will be about 10 percent of the population in 20 years, and in Britain, 8 percent." In the United States, within 20 years Muslims will constitute 1.7 percent of the population.

As far as the growing nationwide fear of sharia grabbing control of America, it was again *The New York Times* that admitted on July 31, 2011 that, in fact, a small, well-financed clique of pro-Israel intriguers were the source of the agitation.

The Times reported that David Yerushalmi, described as a "Hasidic Jew," was the central player promoting sharia hysteria and that he had "come to exercise a striking influence over American public discourse about sharia." *The Times* made it clear there was nothing "grass roots" about the new concern about sharia:

> Working with a cadre of conservative public policy institutes and former military and intelligence officials, Mr. Yerushalmi has written privately financed reports, filed lawsuits against the government and drafted the model legislation that recently swept through the country — all with the effect of casting sharia as one of the greatest threats to American freedom since the Cold War.

This acknowledgement by the *Times* should have embarrassed those who parrot the anti-Muslim rhetoric of Yerushalmi and his collaborators, the foremost of whom is Frank Gaffney, a longtime advocate for Israel in high-level Washington circles. Closely associated with at least four people who have been investigated by the FBI on suspicion of espionage for Israel, Gaffney was a founder of the pro-Israel Project for the New American Century, which declared a "new Pearl Harbor" could energize popular support for American military meddling around the globe. In fact, the 9-11 attacks — attributed to "the Muslims" —provided that new Pearl Harbor.

This phenomenon is not exclusive to the United States. Across Europe, a shocking number of Europeans — often called "nationalists" — are adopting anti-Muslim rhetoric and declaring Israel an ally. They include: Nick Griffin of the British National Party; Marine Le Pen of the French National Front, who says her movement is "Zionistic"; Geert Wilders of the Dutch Freedom Party; and Filip Dewinter of the Belgian Vlaamas Belang Party. In September 2005, Dewinter said: "Israel is a sort of outpost for our Western society, an outpost of democracy, of freedom of speech, of protecting common values within a hostile environment."

In truth, however, Israel has razed Christian holy sites, destroyed ancient Christian relics and instituted laws punishing Christians for proselytizing. While refusing to acknowledge that Muslims revere Christ as a beloved prophet, Dewinter and like-minded "nationalists" ignore anti-Christian hostility that is an article of faith in Israel and documented by modern Jewish scholars in such works as *Jesus in the Talmud* by Dr. Peter Schafer and *Reckless Rites: Purim and the Legacy of Jewish Violence* by Dr. Elliot Horowitz.

There's no doubt about it: Zionist propaganda against Muslims is rising in influence in the world today, despite the fact it's founded on lies — another sign patriots need to be ever wary and on alert.

Michael Collins Piper is the author of ***Final Judgment***, the controversial "underground bestseller" documenting the collaboration of Israeli intelligence in the assassination of John F. Kennedy. He is also the author of ***The New Babylon***, ***The High Priests of War***, ***The New Jerusalem: Zionist Power in America*** , ***The Judas Goats: The Enemy Within***, ***Dirty Secrets: Crime, Conspiracy & Cover-Up in the 20th Century***, ***The GOLEM: Israel's Hell Bomb***, and ***Target: Traficant.*** These works can be found at America First Books and First Amendment Books: 1-888-699-NEWS. He has lectured on suppressed topics in places as diverse as Malaysia, Japan, Canada, Russia and Abu Dhabi.

(Issue Number 17, April 29, 2013,
AMERICAN FREE PRESS)